0.2.5

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Serial 5795 of 1911-12

1912-13 (Lands)

Later references.

File No. 574 of 11

Serial Nos. 1654

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1654

Part B for March 1913

P. 11-E

SUBJECT.

Procedure in the matter of adjustment of the cost of shed materials supplied by the Forest Department to the Revenue Dept.

From the Conservator of Forests - No 2658 of 27-9-12 requests to be informed of the correct procedure to be observed in the matter of the adjustment of the cost of shed materials supplied by the F. Dept to the Rev. Dept for the use of the people in view to enable them to promptly evacuate infected villages.

To the Conservator of Forests - No 3833 of 28/11/12 requests him to favour with his opinion as to how the value of the shed materials is to be

GPI—5,000—22-10-12.

Calculated

3. From the Conservator — no 46368 15/1/13 suggests that the best course is to credit the sale proceeds to plan funds.

4. To the Conservator of Forests, Sambalpur (Comptroller & Dcs of Works) no 6635-45 Samb 52-12 dt 1/3/13, approves of the suggestions of the Conservator of Forests

H.C. Black
24/4/13

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen File No. 54 of 1912-13

Serial No.

Revenue department should return to the Forest department all unsold material and get credit for the same. In the letter under disposal the Conservator of Forests states that the Deputy Commrs of districts instead of adjusting at once the cost or receipt of the materials as per bill sent by the department and then claiming credit for the sale proceeds of the materials returned by the Rev. dept, they deduct the original cost of the materials returned by the Forest department from the bill. He is of opinion that the procedure followed by the Deputy Commrs is ~~not correct~~ extremely unfair to the Forest ~~Subordinates~~ for orders.

31/66 2.10.12 Deputy Commr in as much as such materials are returned only when they have decayed or have become unsaleable and hence worthless much less than the original cost price and all the loss accruing from the sale of rejected materials has now to be borne by the Forest Deputy Commr.

Subdl 3.10.12

2. The Conservator's Opinion that the correct interpretation of the Govt Order is that the Cost of the unsold materials returned to the Forest Dept shall not be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Saraf File No. 56 of 1912

Serial No.

deducted from the bills relating to the Cost of the materials supplied seems to be reasonable. In all cases where a portion of the materials remains unsold, there will be some deterioration of the materials & the question practically is which Dept. is to bear the resulting ~~Charge~~ decrease in value. It is clear that it should be borne by the Plague Funds & that it would be unfair to claim credit for the original Cost of such materials from the Forest Dept.

3. If approved, the opinion of the Dy. Com.rs may be called for on the matter

Sec'y) SDR 8-10.

4. How is the value of the materials returned by the Forest Dept. to be calculated? By whom is the valuation to be made and on what basis? ~~Should~~ the Conservator may be requested to favour Govt. with his opinion on these points also

23 21/4 HV.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

SDR 21-11-12

Serial No.

Depttt). By this System the depreciation cost, viz, the difference between the original cost and the actual realizations (by the Forest Deptt) of the articles returned to them falls on the Forest Deptt. The cost of the articles retained by the Revenue Deptt is debited to plague funds and the realizations by the Revenue Deptt by Sale of the retained articles at cost or half price are credited to plague funds. By this procedure the plague funds are not affected by the depreciation value of the returned articles. If the present Suggestion of the conservator is approved, the Revenue Deptt will have to dispose of themselves the articles either not required or which have become useless and credit the Sale proceeds to plague funds. By adopting this Suggestion the depreciation value will not fall on the plague funds instead of on the Forest Deptt but also the trouble of sending back the unrequired articles by the Rev to the Forest Deptt will be saved as also the cost of transmission and necessary correspondence. The delay in the adjustments of bills received from the Forest Deptt will also be avoided to a considerable appreciable extent as there will be no necessity to ascertain the particulars of articles returned from time to time by the several Talukas &c.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

16-12-12

3287 / 7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sun File No. 54 of 1912-13

Serial No.

12 The draft, if approved, involves modification of a previous G. O. The case may be submitted to the Dewan.

Secy) 20/1/13

13. A typed copy of the draft as revised by me may be put up: Dwan 23/1/13

24-1-13

14 Typed draft submitted.

28-1-13

29/1/13

Dy Secy) 15. Draft for approval.

1150 / 31-1-13

Dewan). D. M. Narasinga Rao 3071

16. Draft approved - The papers may be shown also to the 1st Councillor before issue

1-2-13

1st Councillor) Dwan 4/2

4/2/13

Seen. (I suppose the original idea was that the Sales &c were meant to be effected by the Rev Deptt, as proxy for the Pl Deptt & that there was therefore no ques-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Rev. Forests]

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,

No. 2658 *Bangalore, Dated*. . 27th September 19 12.

Enclosures

1986

12 - 13

SUBJECT GIST Surveys

PAPER No. 52-12

ENCL. 1

From

RAI BAHADUR M. MUTHANNA, ESQ.,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar ESQ., M.A.,

Offg. *Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Referring to para 4 of the Government Order No. 10530-40/ San (99of 04)-16 dated the 16th May 1905, in which it is directed that the cost of the materials supplied by the Forest Department for plague sheds should be adjusted by credit to the Forest Department and by debit to the Plague funds, and that all the unsold materials should be returned to the Forest Department obtaining credit for the same, I have the honour to state that this order is interpreted by the Revenue Department in a manner very disadvantageous to this Department. The procedure followed

GPB—1,000—1-7-10

by the Revenue Department now, under this order is to deduct the cost of the materials returned to the department from the bill. The correct interpretation, it seems to me, is that the Revenue Department should adjust at once the cost on receipt of the materials as per bill furnished by the Department and then claim credit for the sale proceeds of the materials returned To claim credit for the original cost of such materials is extremely unfair to the Department; Since they are returned only when they have decayed or have become unsaleable, with the result that when they are sold, they fetch much less than the cost price. In one case that has been reported to me, the whole of the materials were returned on the ground that there was no need for them, leaving the Department to dispose of them as it chose. Government are aware that these materials are collected and supplied at the cost of much labour and money, and, I hardly think that it was their intention that this Department should bear the loss accruing from the sale of the rejected materials. Orders laying down the correct procedure on this matter are therefore solicited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

V.V.

FOREST DEPARTMENT.

From

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

No. 2658

Dated 27.9.26

Despatched —do—

Enclosures.

SUBJECT. Rules

Re. Am cost of plague
and materials unsold &
be debited to the Forest
Department.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 8W5

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 3833
Sanitg 54-12-2
Dy 28.11.12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore

Date of issue 28.11.12

Signed by Mr SPR

Sir,

In reference to your letter No 2658 dated the 27th September 1912, requesting to be informed of the correct procedure to be observed in the matter of adjustment of the cost of shed materials supplied by the Forest department to the Revenue Dept. for issue to the people in view to enable the prompt evacuation

over. J

SUBJECT.

replied 29/11

of infected villages, I am directed to request you to favour him with your opinion as to how the value of the materials returned to the Forest department from the Rev. Dept. is to be calculated and by whom is the valuation is to be made and on what basis.

I have &c.

Jp

for Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

27/11/11

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

their supply and generally in a much deteriorated condition.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

T.V.V.

FOREST DEPARTMENT.

From

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

No. 4636

Dated 7th December 1912

Despatched

Enclosures. Nil

SUBJECT.

Re. Crediting the value of Plague shed materials returned by the Revenue Dept.

Fair draft

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read-

Government Proceedings No.10530 -40 /San. 99-04-16, dated the 16th May 1905, directing that the supply of hutting materials from the Forest Department to the Revenue for issue to the public in order to facilitate prompt evacuation of plague-infected villages, and prescribing the procedure for the adjustment of the cost of such materials.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 4636 dated the 6th December 1912,- from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, stating that the Government Order read above is interpreted by the Revenue Department in a manner very disadvantageous to the Forest Department and that the Revenue Department now deduct from the bill the cost of the materials returned after a long time to the Forest Department, a procedure unfair to the Forest Department in as much as the depreciation in value of the materials result-

resulting from the decline in their -- quality falls on it, and suggesting, therefore, that the Revenue Department may be directed to give full credit to the Forest Department for the materials supplied to them in the first instance, and to dispose of the unutilised materials to the best advantage and to --- credit the sale proceeds to the Plague Funds instead of returning them to the Forest Department.

Order thereon No. 6635-45-Sanity 54-13

Dated, Bangalore, 1 ~~January~~ 1st March 1913.

Government consider that the loss resulting from the sale of unused materials should not fall on the Forest Department which collects and supplies them at the cost of much labour and -- money and which is in no way responsible for the depreciation in value arising subsequent to their supply. The -- suggestions of the Conservator of Forests, which serve to economise correspondence and to secure equitable ad-

adjustment of cost between the Departments concerned, are hereby approved by the -- Government in modification of the Government Order dated 16th ~~January~~ May 1905, read above.

2.13

Fair draft

Dated 3-2-13

By Order of Offg. Secretary to Government.

To

The Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Print

S.R.N. 28/1

9 copies typed.
28.2.13.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local Fund and Sanitary (Sanitary), dated 1st March 1913.

READ—

Government Proceedings No. 10530-40—San. 99-04-16, dated the 16th May 1905, directing that the supply of hutting materials from the Forest Department to the Revenue for issue to the public in order to facilitate prompt evacuation of plague-infected villages, and prescribing the procedure for the adjustment of the cost of such materials.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 4636, dated the 6th December 1912, from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, stating that the Government Order read above is interpreted by the Revenue Department in a manner very disadvantageous to the Forest Department and that the Revenue Department now deduct from the bill the cost of the materials returned after a long time to the Forest Department, a procedure unfair to the Forest Department, inasmuch as the depreciation in value of the materials resulting from the decline in their quality falls on it, and suggesting therefore that the Revenue Department may be directed to give full credit to the Forest Department for the materials supplied to them in the first instance and to dispose of the unutilised materials to the best advantage and to credit the sale proceeds to the Plague Funds instead of returning them to the Forest Department.

No. 6635-45—SAN. 54-12-4, DATED BANGALORE, 1ST MARCH 1913.

ORDER THEREON.—Government consider that the loss resulting from the sale of unused materials should not fall on the Forest Department which collects and supplies them at the cost of much labour and money and which is in no way responsible for the depreciation in value arising subsequent to their supply. The suggestions of the Conservator of Forests, which serve to economise correspondence and to secure equitable adjustment of cost between the Departments concerned, are hereby approved by the Government in modification of the Government Order dated 16th May 1905, read above.

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Conservator of Forests in Mysore.
The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. R.

1912-13

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LOCAL FUND AND SANITARY
(SANITARY)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 1st March 1913

No. 6635-45
San. 54-12-4

Passes orders in modification of the Government Order of the 16th May 1905, about the adjustment of the cost of the supply of hutting materials from the Forest Department to the Revenue Department for issue to the public to facilitate prompt evacuation of plague-infected villages.

GPB—10-3-13—250